App. F. IX-4

1934.1 (Law)

File No. 213-34

Serial Nos. 1-6

Previous References

Law Dept 143-34

Later References

Law/3-35-22-23
98-38 (1-2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 6

Part B for March 36 -.

SUBJECT.

O.S. 766/34-35 on the file of
1st Munsiff, Bangalore,
(Abdul Rahim vs The D.M.Bd.

To The Distt Magistrate, Bangalore
Nop-5879 dy 3-3-36
forwards copy of the de-
& requests that necessary
may be taken to recover
the same

FROM THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Bangalore, the 24th June 1935

FROM

A.V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.
District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.



TO

H.V. Ramaswamy,
General Secretary,
to the Government of Mysore.

SIR,

Subject:-

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.P.6089-Law. 184-34-2 dated the 2nd May 1935, regarding the notice issued by Mr. R. Visvanatha Iyer, Advocate on behalf of one Abdul Rahim, Ex-Fire Works Manufacturer, claiming damages for cancellation of his licenses in 1932, I have the honour to state that Abdul Rahim has filed a Civil Suit in the court of the I Munsiff, Bangalore (O.S. 765/34-35) claiming damages to the extent of Rs.500/- with subsequent interest and costs of the suit. The summons and copy of the plaint received from the I Munsiff are enclosed.

In the circumstances stated in my letter No.A.R.1/32 dated 2/6th April 1935, I request that the Public Prosecutor may be requested to appear on my behalf and defend the suit.

2) The defence of the suit may be sanctioned. A suit against the Dt. angle as such cannot lie = Draft SO may be approved (Gen Sec) DRM 28/6.

4 1) Sm 6m

LTR 28/6

DRM 28/6

Sm 28/6

LTR 29/6

3) Sme DRM 1/7/85

To,

The Dist. Collector
Dist. Collector
Camp Mohr

S.O. no. C. 1575 Law 43-302 & 29. sanctioning the defence your suit

5) Dft for info

ISD
26/7

6)

Issued no. P. 763 d. 30.7.35

From.

The Br. Blue

no. 137/3536 d 31.7.35 forwarding a Cop. of the Plaint.

Refce paras 1-2 supra.

W. St. seems to be in order.

Subj? for appt? or ords

8) Has the W.S been approved by the Dis Regr?

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Law File No. 43 of 1934 [A]

11) Dft put up accordingly.

TSD 7/8

12) Issue
PRG
9/8

Issued vide No. 105 dt 12.8.35.

From,

The Pr. Bhne

no 215/35-36 dt 6.8.35 forwarding for appl
the dft w.s. to be filed in the above C

13) The suit is for recovery of damages of
Rs 500/- for the alleged Cancellation of Explosi
License by the D.M. Bhne. The w.s. has been
approved by the D.M. and is in order.
Submd for appl w order

TSD 19/8

14) Maybe approved
Gen Sec)
Cc
19/8/35

O.S. 766/3[illegible] – I Mf. 8 Nov [illegible]

(Abdul Rahim vs Re D.M. Mag[illegible]

File No. 213 of 1936.

General and Revenue Secretariat

From

The Hon. D'Lore

No. [illegible] dt. 1.2.36 intimating that the above case has been dismissed with costs and that the copies of Judgt. and decree will be obtained and sent in due course.

Submitted for perusal

17) Copy of the Judgt. will be awaited.

TSD 5/2

[illegible]

Serial No.

Dft. for issue
TSD 29/12

Issue
DNP
29/12

To,

The D. M. Bhore

no. P. 5879 d 3.3.36 instructing him to take action to recover the costs.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Letter No.A.R.1/32, dated 24th June 1935 from the District Magistrate, Bangalore, stating that the marginally noted

O.S.766/34-35 Abdul Rahim Vs. The District Magistrate, Bangalore.	Civil Suit has been filed against him in the Court of the First Munsiff, Bangalore,

claiming damages to the extent of Rs.500/- and soliciting sanction of Government to engage the services of the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore to appear on his behalf and defend the suit.

Order No. P.16-18 Law 213-35-2

Dated, Bangalore the 1st July 1935.

2

Sanction is accorded to the defence of the above suit. The Public Prosecutor, Bangalore is requested to appear and conduct the case on behalf of the District Magistrate, Bangalore and take necessary further action in accordance with the rules for the conduct of the legal affairs of Government.

By Order,
General Secretary to Government.

To

The Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, with a copy of the Plaint and duplicate summons.

The District Magistrate, Bangalore with summons in original to return it to the Court with due endorsement of service thereon.

The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

Agn/4

G. R. S. App. F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary. No of letter replied to .....

Section Law

File No. 213-34

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 2/7/35 193

Signed by M. V. R. / R

O.S. 766/34-35

(Abdul Rahim vs Rev D.M. Blue)

Read— Letter no. A.R.1/35 dated 1st inst from Rev Dr. Hayle Blue stating that he was wrongfully sued Civil suit has been filed against him in the Court of the Junior Munsiff. Blue claiming damages to the extent of Rs 1000/ ~~Order thereon. No.~~ and soliciting sanction of Govt to engage the services of the Rev. Blue to appear on his behalf and defend the suit

Order No P. 16-18

Sanction is accorded to the defence of the above

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

The Hon. Mr. Rose is requested to appear and Conduct the Case on behalf of the D. M. Rose and take necessary further action in accordance with the rules for the Conduct of the legal affairs of the

5 36 P 13

No. 105 / 35-36
Enc. ...one file

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION

DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore, 22nd (23rd) July *193* 5

Law 213-34-3

FROM G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

RECEIVED 23 JUL 1935

To The General Secretary to the Government of H.H. Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

RE: O.S.NO.766/34-35 on the file of the First Munsiff, Bangalore.
(Abdul Rahim -VS- The District Magistrate Bangalore)

Pool 24/7

Adverting to G.O. NO.P.I6-I8Law 213-34-2 dated 2-7-I935, I have ~~the honour~~ the honour to send herewith the draft written - statement in the above case for approval ~~and~~ of Government and return. All the connected records received from the District - Magistrate, Bangalore are sent ~~here~~ herewith for reference and - return. The case is posted to I9-8-I935 for filing written - statement in court. After the written statement is approved the signature of the District Magistrate, Bangalore will be obtained and filed in court on I-8-I935.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G. Doraswamy Iyer

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR.

Your most obedient servant,

I have the honour to be,

filed in court on 1-9-1939.

nity structure of the district authorities, Bangalore will be obtained and at present in court. After the written statement is received the case return. The case is posted to 19-9-1939 for filing written - Inspite, Bangalore are sent the herewith kindly receive the and - return. If the connected records in respect of Govern ment case above the have the honour to make reference the dated written - advertising to O.O. No.E.18-19mm. dt13-34-s dated:-7-1939. I

Bangalore)
(for at admin -Ib- the district Magistrate
Civil Judge, Bangalore.
to: O.S.No.756/34-35 on the file of the

Yours obedient servant,

The learned Pleader to the Government of H.H.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P 743 *Dated, Bangalore,* 29th July *193*5

30

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore.

Sir,

(O.S.766/34-35 on the file of the First Munsiff, Bangalore, - Abdul Rahim vs. District Magistrate, Bangalore)

With reference to your letter No.105/35-36 dated 23rd July 1935 forwarding for approval the written statement to be filed in the above case, I am directed to request that a copy of the plaint is not among the papers sent and it may therefore be kindly sent early.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 30/7/31

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by By 29/7

Examined by .....

Section Law

File No. 43-84

Serial No.

No. P. 743/—

To The Bar. Bilaspur

OS 766/3055 on the file of the I Munsiff, Bilaspur (Abdul Rahim vs. D. M. Bilaspur)

Sir,

With reference to your letter no. 1057/3056 d. 28.7.31 forwarding for appl. the written statement to be filed in the above Case, I am directed to request that a Copy of the plaint & may therefore be furnished for reference early

I have the

26/7 Jr Genl Sec

Ist 26/7

536

780 935

No. 137
35-36

Enc. .....

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore,.....31st.....July.....*193* 5

FROM,

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

2 AUG. 1935

TO

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

RE: O.S.NO.766/34-35 on the file of the
First Munsiff, Bangalore.
(Abdul Rahim -VS- The District Magis-
trate Bangalore).

With reference to your letter No.P.743/Law 213-34 dated the 30th July 1935, I have the honour to send herewith - the copy of the plaint in the above case for your reference and return.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G Doraswamy Iyer

Public Prosecutor.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore, Dated, 10th August **1935**

No. F 105) /Rev 213-34

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore.

Sir,

O.S.766/34-35 on the file of the I Munsiff, Bangalore
(Adbul Rahim vs District Magistrate, Bangalore

In returning herewith the draft written statement sent with your letter No.105/35-36 dated 23rd July 1935, I am directed to enquire whether the same has been perused and agreed to by the District Magistrate, Bangalore. If not it may be kindly got scrutinised by the District Magistrate in the first instance and then submitted to Government for approval.

The connected file of papers is herewith sent

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue ..... 12-8-35 .....

Signed by ..... for A. R. J. .....

Section Law

File No. 213-34

Serial No. —

No. 9108 Enclosure

To

The Dist. Bloc

OS 766/34-35 on the file o

the I Munsiff Bloc

(Abdul Rahim vs ~~the~~ D.M. B

Sir,

In returning herewith

the d/f written statement sent with

your letter no. 105/35-36 d 23-7-35. I

am directed to enquire whether the

same has been perused and agreed to

be ~~the statement made here in~~

~~may be for verified~~ by the D.M. Bloc

~~and submitted for approval of Govt~~

Submitted herewith for approval

the Connected file of papers

herewith ~~returned~~ sent for reference and

return

I have the

56—GPB—22,590—12-6-34

10/8

9/8 for Secretary

No. 209 35-36

Enc. .....

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore, 13th August *193* 5

FROM

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

TO

The General Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

RE: O.S.NO.766/34-35 on the file of the
First Munsiff, Bangalore.
(Abdul Rahim -VS- The District Magistrate,
Bangalore.)

With reference to your letter No.P.1057/Law 213-34 dated 10/12th August 1935, I have the honour to state that the draft written statement has been sent to the District Magistrate, Bangalore for his approval and return.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Public Prosecutor.

KHK.

for perusal

1060 / 1938

No. 215/35-36

Enc. ....1 file of papers.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore,.....16th....August.....*193* 5.

IMMEDIATE.

Law 213-34-4

FROM

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.



The General Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

RE: O.S.NO.766/34-35 on the file of the First Munsiff, Bangalore.
(Abdul Rahim -VS- The District Magistrate, Bangalore.)

In continuation of this office letter NO.209/35-36 dated the 13th August 1935, I have the honour to send herewith the draft written statement in the above case approved by the District Magistrate, Bangalore District, Bangalore together with the connected records for approval of Government and return. The case is posted to the 19th instant for filing written statement in court.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer
Public Prosecutor.

KHR.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Immediate. *Dated, Bangalore,* 19th August 193 5

No. P 1177 /Law 213-34

Civil Record

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore.

Sir,

O.S.766/34-35 on the file of the First Munsiff, Bangalore.
(Abdul Rahim Vs. the Dist. Magistrate, Bangalore)

I am directed to return herewith duly approved the draft written statement sent with your letter No. 215/35-36 dated 16th August 1935.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for General Secretary to Govt.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to ....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 19.5.1935

Signed by [illegible]

In re

Section Gen

File No. [illegible]

Serial No. 5

No. P 1177 Genl Records

To

The Pr. Bch

OS 766/32-35 on the file

of the I Munsiff. Mdu

(Abdul Rahim vs D.M.Bch)

Sir,

I am directed

to return herewith draft approved

the draft written statement

sent with your letter no. 215/32-36

d 16.8.35

I have

In Gen Sec

F 4414/35-P

No. 647/35-36

Enc. nil

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

Dated 15-1-1936.

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 17 JAN 1936 P.W. P.A.

To

The General Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject:— O.S. 766/33-34 on the file of the Fourth Munsiff, Bangalore (Abdul Rahim -vs- the District Magistrate Bangalore)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 20th instant for arguments as the Counsel for plaintiff for time. The copy of Issues framed by Court is sent herewith for information of Government. I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

IN THE COURT OF THE FIRST MUNSIFF AT BANGALORE.

O.S.NO. 766/34-35

Abdul Kahim. Plaintiff.

-VS-

The District Magistrate, Bangalore. Defendant.

I S SUE S

I. Is the suit as framed maintainable in law?.

2. Is Regulation VII of I923 a bar to the filing of this suit ?.

3. Were the acts complained of by the plaintiff i the plaint committed if so by whom, and did the person commit the act or acts in excess of their powers negligently, illegally and without any reasonable or or probable cause ?

4. If so, is plaintiff entitled to any damages and to what damages, if any, is he entitled and from whom ?

5. To what relief, if any, is plaintiff entitled ?.

(Initialled) K.P.P.,
First Munsiff, Bangalore.

No. 713
35-36
Enc. .....

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore, Ist February 193 6

FROM G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

479 D/35
Law 213-34-6

To
The General Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
3 FEB. 1936

SIR, RE: O.S.NO.766/34-35 on the file of the First Munsiff, Bangalore. (Abdul Rahim -VS- The District Magistrate, Bangalore.)

I have the honour to state that orders were pronounced in the above case dismissing the suit with costs. Certified copies of judgment and decree will be obtained and sent to Government for information.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G Doraswamy Iyer
Public Prosecutor.

S 304 P 35

No. 835/35-36

Enc. Copy of judgment

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
BANGALORE DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS,

Bangalore, 24th February *1936*

FROM G.S. Doraswamy Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division, Bangalore.

To
The General Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

GENERAL SECTION
25 FEB. 1936

SIR,

RE: O.S.No. 766/34-35 on the file of the Additional Munsiff, Bangalore.
(Abdul Rahim -VS- The District Magistrate, Bangalore.)

In continuation of this office letter No.713/35-36 dated the Ist instant, I have the honour to send herewith the certified copy of judgment and decree in the above case for information of Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

G.S. Doraswamy Iyer
Public Prosecutor.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Date of issue 3.3.36

Signed by Mr. N. R. J

Section Law

File No. 943-36

Serial No.

No. P5879(98Leech)

To The D. M. Bloe

O.S. 766/1935 on the file of the I Munsiff Bloe

(Abdul Rahim vs D. M. Bloe)

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence ending with the G.O. No. P. Govt Law 213-36 dt 2.7.35 I am directed to forward herewith a Copy of the certified decree the above case and to request that necessary further action to recover the Court dues to Govt may kindly be taken in Consultation with the Dr. Bloe and

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore, Dated, ~~2nd~~ 3rd ~~March~~ ~~1936~~

No. P 5879 Law 213-34
Enc. 9 Sheets.

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The District Magistrate, Bangalore District.

Sir, O.S.766/34-35 on the file of the First Munsiff, Bangalore.
(Abdul Rahim Vs. District Magistrate, Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with the Government Order No.P.16-18-Law.213-34-2 dated 2nd July 1935 I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the certified decree in the above case and to request that necessary further action to recover the cost due to Government may kindly be taken in consultation with the

P.T.O.

F 1278/P 35

No. 241
Enc. 35-36

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

Dated 22nd August *1935.*

FROM
The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
27 AUG. 19
Confl

To

The Secretary to
Government, Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— O.S. no. 766/34-35 on the file of the Fort Munsiff, Bangalore.
(Abdul Rahim —vs— The District Magistrate, Bangalore)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 29th August 1935 for filing written ~~the~~ statement in Court.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor

F 1354/35

No. 256-57

Enc.

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

Dated 29th August *1935.*

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
30 AUG 1935

To The

The General Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— O.S.No. 766/34-35 on the file of the Fast Munsiff, Bangalore (Abdul Rahiman -vs- The District Magistrate, Bangalore)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 5th September 1935 for Reply. The written statement has been filed in court today

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

1587/35

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 281
Enc. 31 - 36

Dated 6-9- *1935*

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARY 7 SEP. 1935

To

The General Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore

SIR,

Subject:— O.S. No 766/34-35 on the file of the First Munsiff, Bangalore (Abdul Rahim -vs- the District Magistrate, Bangalore)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 9th instant for filing reply as the Counsel for plaintiff prayed for time

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Public Prosecutor.

F 1111/1935

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
Bangalore DIVISION,
DISTRICT OFFICE BUILDINGS.

No. 310/35-36

Enc.

Dated 12-9- 1935

FROM

The Public Prosecutor,
Bangalore Division,
Bangalore

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
14 SEP. 1935

To

The General Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore

SIR,

Subject :— O.S. no 766/34-35 on the file of the Forest Munsiff, Bangalore. (Abdul Rahim -vs- The District Magistrate Bangalore)

I have the honor to state that the above case has been adjourned to the 16th September 1935 for replying to the application filed by the Plaintiff to direct the defendant to file a further statement.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,